UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/589,501	01/16/2007	Tsuneki Wakita	295110US0PCT	8302	
22850 7590 06/29/2010 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER		
			WOODWARD, ANA LUCRECIA		
ALEAANDRIA, VA 22514			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1796		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/29/2010	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/589,501	WAKITA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Ana L. Woodward	1796		

Ana	L. Woodward	1796					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	n the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 22 June 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the sapplication, applicant must timely file one of the following replie application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (w for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1 periods:	ame day as filing a Notice of s: (1) an amendment, affidavi ith appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisor</li> </ul>		in the final rejection, which	chover is later. In				
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). Of	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	ter oneon box (b) when the	THO THE ET WAS TH					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on wh have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensio under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	n and the corresponding amount ned statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the state of Appeal has been filed.	thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but pr  (a) They raise new issues that would require further conside  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);			cause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better fo appeal; and/or	rm for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corres		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See	· ,,	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		(1					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) whow the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none.							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2 and 5-15</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>3 and 4</u> .							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and suffiwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Not entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overce showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and	me <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	·						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does See Continuation Sheet.	s NOT place the application ir	n condition for allowan	ce because:				
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (PTO:</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
	/Ana L. Woodward/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Init 1796					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the proposed amendments to claim 1 engender new issues under 35 USC 112, first and second paragraphs. No express support is seen in the specification for the subject matter per the proposed amendment. Furthermore, it is unclear if or how the confusing and conflicting text related to irradiation limits the actual modifier being claimed. Are applicants now claiming the modifier prior to being irradiated?

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The proposed amendment adds to rather than diminishes the issues under 35 USC 112. Furthermore, applicants' reliance on the comparison regarding IM-4 is deficient in that such is not based on a direct back-to-back comparison. In this regard, the presence of unfixed variables, e.g., the composition of the graft copolymer, is noted.